

2023 Vital Grants

Clayoquot Biosphere Trust

Regional Priority for Sustainability

Project name

Character Limit: 100

Description of regional priority, issue, or complex sustainability challenge*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The proposed project is **strongly aligned** with a regional priority, issue or complex sustainability challenge.

Good (5-8 points)

The proposed project is **somewhat aligned** with a regional priority, issue or complex sustainability challenge.

Poor (0-3 points)

The proposed project is **not aligned** with a regional priority, issue or complex sustainability challenge.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Strength of evidence supporting the regional priority, issue, or complex sustainability challenge*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The proposed project is **strongly supported with evidence of** a regional priority, issue or complex sustainability challenge.

Good (5-8 points)

The proposed project is **somewhat supported with evidence of** a regional priority, issue or complex sustainability challenge.

Poor (0-3 points)

The proposed project is **not supported with evidence of** a regional priority, issue or complex sustainability challenge.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Scaled-up Change and Innovation

Do the project benefits reflect meaningful change in the identified priority area?*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The proposed project uses a **new and inventive approach(es)** to solve a current challenge related to the priority area and **strongly reflects meaningful change**.

Good (5-8 points)

The proposed project uses an **existing approach** to solve a current challenge related to the priority area and **somewhat reflects meaningful change**.

Poor (0-3 points)

The proposed project **does not solve a challenge** related to the priority area and **does not reflect meaningful change**.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Are the right partners engaged?*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The proposed project engages a **strong team of partners** who **collectively can solve** a current challenge related to the priority area.

Good (5-8 points)

The proposed project engages a **team of partners** who **are somewhat capable of solving** a current challenge related to the priority area.

Poor (0-3 points)

The proposed project **does not engage a team of partners** to solve a current challenge related to the priority area.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Is the partnership strategy clearly described and reflected in the project budget?*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The proposed project describes a **strong partnership strategy** which is **strongly reflected in the project budget**.

Good (5-8 points)

The proposed project somewhat describes a **partnership strategy** which is **partially reflected in the project budget**.

Poor (0-3 points)

The proposed project **does not describe a partnership strategy** and it is **not reflected in the project budget**.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Implementation Plan

Budget complies with CBT funding guidelines*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The budget is **specific and reasonable** and all items **strongly align** with the described grant project.

Good (5-8 points)

The budget is **mostly specific and reasonable** and the items **align** with the described grant project.

Poor (0-3 points)

The budget is **not specific and reasonable** and the items **do not align** with the described grant project.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Budget Notes

Character Limit: 2000

Strength and clarity of project evaluation plan (including methodology for collecting program data)*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The applicant **describes a specific and reasonable evaluation plan** that **will collect program data** and provide a **strong learning opportunity**.

Good (5-8 points)

The applicant **describes a specific and mostly reasonable evaluation plan** that **will likely collect program data** and provide a **learning opportunity**.

Poor (0-3 points)

The applicant **does not describe a specific and reasonable evaluation plan** and **does not collect program data**.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Applicant has capacity to implement project*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The applicant includes concrete examples that **strongly demonstrate** it has the ability to plan, execute, and monitor the grant project and associated expenses (includes a plan to comply with covid 19 restrictions).

Good (5-8 points)

The applicant includes concrete examples that **demonstrate** it has the ability to plan, execute, and monitor the grant project and associated expenses.

Poor (0-3 points)

The applicant **does not demonstrate** it has the ability to plan, execute, and monitor the grant project and associated expenses.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Links to indicator/data for Vital Signs*

Excellent (9-10 points)

The applicant **includes several indicators** from Vital Signs data, or **has great potential to contribute** to Vital Signs data, that are **strongly aligned with** a community need.

Good (5-8 points)

The applicant includes **some reference to** Vital Signs data, or **has some potential to contribute** to Vital Signs data, that **align with** a community need.

Poor (0-3 points)

The applicant **does not reference any data** from Vital Signs, and/or has **no potential to contribute** to Vital Signs data, and the project **does not align** with a community need.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Comments

Character Limit: 1000

Equity

Equity lens*

Does this application aim to address the social and environmental factors that influence health and ecological equity? Factors include: income, social status, race, gender, education and physical environment.

Excellent (9-10) the project directly addresses one or more factors that influence health and/or ecological equity.

Good (5-8) the project somewhat or indirectly addresses one or more factors that influence health and/or ecological equity

Poor (0-4) the project does not address one or more factors that influence health and/or ecological equity.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10 or N/A